# This report is PUBLIC [NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED]

CITY OF WOLVERHAMPTON C O U N C I L

# **Meeting of the City Council**

6 November 2019

Report title Annual Scrutiny Report

Referring body Scrutiny Board, 29 October 2019

**Councillor to present** 

report

Councillor Paul Sweet, Chair of Scrutiny Board

Cabinet member with lead Councillor Sandra Samuels

responsibility Governance
Wards affected All Wards

Accountable Director Mark Taylor, Deputy Managing Director

Originating service Governance

Accountable employee(s) Julia Cleary Scrutiny and Systems Manager

Tel: 01902 555046

Email: Julia.Cleary@wolverhampton.gov.uk

Report to be/has been

considered by

Strategic Executive Board

**Scrutiny Board** 

27 August 2019 29 October 2019

#### Recommendation for decision:

The Council is recommended to:

1. Receive the Annual Scrutiny Report.

# This report is PUBLIC [NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED]

### 1.0 Purpose

- 1.1 The Annual Review highlights some of the key achievements of the Scrutiny function over the 2018-2019 municipal year including details of the progress and outcomes from a selection of Panel meetings and Reviews.
- 1.2 The report also highlights upcoming items and developments in the scrutiny function and calendar.

### 2.0 Background

- 2.1 On 29 October 2019 Scrutiny Board considered the Annual Scrutiny Report.
- 2.2 Copies of the report have been supplied to Councillors and can also be accessed online on the Council's website here.
- 2.3 Scrutiny Board recommended to Council that it:
  - 1. Receive the Annual Scrutiny Report.

#### 3.0 Scrutiny

- 3.1 Scrutiny is a vital component of good governance and the work carried out by Councillors through scrutiny this year has been invaluable in influencing policy. This has been through representing the concerns and interests of the public and by providing challenge to the Cabinet. Scrutiny also undertakes reviews of partners working with the Council through detailed and critical analysis of their annual plans and reports. The Board and all the Panels undertook thorough scrutiny of the budget savings proposals.
- 3.2 Wolverhampton operates a model similar to that used by most unitary councils across England. The Scrutiny Board provides the overall co-ordination of the work programme with the six Panels focussing on key areas of priority. This is also supplemented by a series of reviews into key issues.
- 3.3 The Scrutiny Board and six Panels have been involved in both pre-decision, policy development and post decision scrutiny throughout the year.

### 4.0 Good scrutiny

4.1 Good scrutiny tackles issues of direct relevance to local people and issues where, through the unique perspective of Councillors, it can add the most value. Scrutiny is about talking to a wide range of people, drawing them together and building consensus. It is also about challenging the accepted ways of doing things and acting as a champion for developing a culture of improvement in the local area.

# This report is PUBLIC [NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED]

- 4.2 When deciding whether an item should be considered by scrutiny the following questions should be asked:
  - Is it an issue of concern to partners, local residents, local communities or the City of Wolverhampton Council?
  - How can scrutiny add value? How will outcomes be improved as a result of scrutiny activity?
  - Will scrutiny work be duplicating work being done elsewhere?

## 5.0 Financial implications

5.1 There are no financial implications associated with the recommendation here as Councillors are being requested to review the annual scrutiny report. Any financial implications associated with scrutiny processes through the year are incorporated in reports taken to the Scrutiny Board and Panels. The on-going scrutiny function developments are being implemented utilising existing resources within the Scrutiny Team. [GE/21102019/S]

### 6.0 Legal implications

6.1 This report provides an overview of the scrutiny which has taken place during 2018-2019 and future plans for the on-going improvement and development of the service. For legal implications, specific to each project please refer to the reports taken to Scrutiny Board and Panels during the year. [TC/21102019/P]

### 7.0 Equalities implications

- 7.1 In scrutinising issues, the members of the Board, Panel or group are required to consider the need to eliminate discrimination, advance equality of opportunity and foster good relations between different groups of people in order to meet the requirements of the Equality Act 2010.
- 7.2 For equalities implications relating to each issue considered, please refer to the reports taken to Scrutiny Board and panels during the year.

### 8.0 Environmental implications

8.1 There are no environmental implications arising from the recommendation in this report.

### 9.0 Human resources implications

9.1 There are no human resources implications arising from the recommendation in this report.

### 10.0 Corporate landlord implications

10.1 There are no corporate landlord implications arising from the recommendation in this report.

Sensitivity: NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

This report is PUBLIC [NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED]

# 11.0 Schedule of background papers

11.1 Copies of all agendas and minutes for scrutiny panel meetings can be found <a href="here">here</a>.